RNA secondary structure prediction

Jiří Kléma

Department of Computer Science, Czech Technical University in Prague

Lecture based on Mark Craven's class at University of Wisconsin



http://cw.felk.cvut.cz/wiki/courses/b4m36bin/start

Overview

- Key concepts
 - RNA secondary structure,
 - secondary structure features: stems, loops, bulges,
 - Nussinov algorithm,
 - adapting Nussinov to take free energy into account.
- untouched
 - special base pairs: non-canonical, base triplets, pseudoknots,
 - advanced algorithms including deep networks, transfer learning etc.

Messenger RNA (mRNA) is not the only important class of RNA

- ribosomal RNA (rRNA)
 - * ribosomes are complexes that incorporate several RNA subunits in addition to numerous protein units,
- transfer RNA (tRNA)
 - * transport amino acids to the ribosome during translation,
- the spliceosome, which performs intron splicing
 - * a complex with several RNA units,
- the spliceosome, which performs intron splicing
 - \ast a complex with several RNA units,
- microRNAs and other ncRNAs that play regulatory roles,
- many viruses (e.g. HIV) have RNA genomes,
- guide RNA

* sequence complementarity determines whether to cleave DNA,

- folding of an mRNA can be involved in regulating the gene's expression.

RNA secondary structure

- RNA is typically single stranded,
- folding, in large part is determined by base-pairing
- A-U and C-G are the canonical base pairs,
- other bases will sometimes pair, especially G-U,
- base-paired structure is referred to as the secondary structure of RNA,
- related RNAs often have homologous secondary structure without significant sequence similarity.

tRNA Secondary Structure



Marc Craven, BMI/CS 576, www.biostat.wisc.edu/bmi576.

Small subunit ribosomal RNA



O'Connor, Nucleic acids research, 1997.

6S RNA secondary structure



Cavanagh, Annual review of microbiology, 2014.

Secondary structure features



Marc Craven, BMI/CS 576, www.biostat.wisc.edu/bmi576.

Secondary structure as CFG

 Context-free grammar (CFG) is a suitable formalism for representing palindrome languages.



Durbin, Biological Sequence Analysis: Probabilistic Models of Proteins and Nucleic Acids.

Four key problems

- Predicting RNA secondary structure (Focus for today)
 - Given: RNA sequence,
 - Do: predict secondary structure that sequence will fold into,
- Searching for instances of a given structure
 - Given: an RNA sequence or its secondary structure,
 - Do: find sequences that will fold into a similar structure,
- Modeling a family of RNAs
 - Given: a set of RNA sequences with similar secondary structure,
 - Do: construct a model that captures the secondary structure regularities of the set,
- Identifying novel RNA genes
 - Given: a pair of homologous DNA sequences,
 - Do: identify subsequences that appear to have highly conserved RNA secondary structure (putative RNA genes).

RNA folding assumption and pseudoknots

- We will assume that base pairings do not cross,
- for base-paired positions i, i' and j, j', with i < i' and j < j', we must have</p>
 - either i < i' < j < j' or j < j' < i < i' (not nested),
 - or i < j < j' < i' or j < i < i' < j' (nested),
- cannot have i < j < i' < j' or j < i < j' < i'
 - these crossings are called pseudoknots,
 - dynamic programming breaks down with them,
 - fortunately, they are not very frequent.





Seliverstov et al. BMC Microbiology, 2005.

Predicting RNA secondary structure

Given:

- an RNA sequence,

- the constraint = pseudoknots not allowed,

Do:

- find a secondary structure for the RNA,

- it maximizes the number of base pairing positions,

Nussinov algorithm

- key ideas

* do this using dynamic programming,

* start with small subsequences,

* progressively work to larger ones.

DP in the Nussinov algorithm



Determine one non-crossing RNA structure with maximal score.

```
push(1, L) onto stack
repeat until stack is empty
  pop(i, j)
  if i \geq j continue
  else if \gamma(i+1,j) = \gamma(i,j) push(i+1,j)
  else if \gamma(i, j-1) = \gamma(i, j) push(i, j-1)
  else if \gamma(i+1, j-1) + \delta(i, j) = \gamma(i, j)
     record i, j base pair
     push(i + 1, j - 1)
  else for k = i + 1 to j - 1:
     if \gamma(i,k) + \gamma(k+1,j) = \gamma(i,j)
        push(k+1, j)
        push(i,k)
        break
```

Predict RNA secondary structure by energy minimization

- Maximizing the number of base pairs oversimplifies prediction of folding,
- however, we can generalize the key recurrence relation by minimizing free energy instead.

$$E(i,j) = min \begin{cases} E(i+1,j) \\ E(i,j-1) \\ min_{i < k < j}[E(i,k) + E(k+1,j)] \\ P(i,j) \leftarrow \text{ case that } i \text{ and } j \text{ are base paired} \end{cases}$$

Predict RNA secondary structure by energy minimization

 A sophisticated program, such as Mfold [Zuker et al.], can take into account free energy of the "local environment" of [i, j].

$$P(i,j) = min \begin{cases} \alpha(i,j) + \mathsf{LoopEnergy}(j-i-1) \\ \alpha(i,j) + \mathsf{StackingEnergy}(i,j,i+1,j-1) + P(i+1,j-1) \\ min_{k\geq 1}[\alpha(i,j) + \mathsf{BulgeEnergy}(k) + P(i+k+1,j-1)] \\ min_{k\geq 1}[\alpha(i,j) + \mathsf{BulgeEnergy}(k) + P(i+1,j-k-1)] \\ min_{k,l\geq 1}[\alpha(i,j) + \mathsf{LoopEnergy}(k+l) + P(i+k+1,j-l-1)] \\ min_{j>k>i}[\alpha(i,j) + E(i+1,k) + E(k+1,j-1)] \end{cases}$$

Predict RNA secondary structure by energy minimization



Marc Craven, BMI/CS 576, www.biostat.wisc.edu/bmi576.

Mfold example

- Mfold solutions with energy up to 5% from the best
 - different from Nussinov results (2 Watson-Crick base pairs only here).





Summary

- RNA has numerous roles in
 - translation, splicing, DNA replication, gene regulation,
- RNA structure understanding is important
 - substitutions possible, function preserved as long as they preserve structure,
- Secondary structure can be predicted
 - comparative sequence analysis
 - * molecules with similar function will form similar structures,
 - * it searches for positions that co-vary,
 - free energy minimization
 - * take a sequence, search for energetically stable complementary regions,
 - * in a simplified form discussed in this lecture,
 - * current folding programs get on average 50-70% base pairs correct,
 - * many foldings lie close to the predicted global energy minimum,
 - in general an intractable task.